

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.USPLO.gov

APPLICATION NO	b	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,414		01/14/2004	Takayuki Hattori	2927-0166P	2050
2292	7590	03/21/2005		EXAMINER	
		Г KOLASCH & E	EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI		
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1713	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			<i>U</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/756,414	HATTORI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	1713	.1				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence address	ss				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, many within the statutory minimum of will expire SIX (6) as cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commune ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status		·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	ugust 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal r	natters, prosecution as to the me	erits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under l	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	L.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			<i>?</i>				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			d				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		d to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the draw	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form PTO-	152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have b	een received in this National Sta	ige É				
application from the International Burea	•		#				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		·					
Attachment(s)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interv	iew Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 5) ☐ Notice 6) ☐ Other		۷)				
U.S. Destead and Tondoroid Office	· 						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/756,414 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-11, 14-20 and 22-26, drawn to a polymeric antistatic agent and a composition comprising same, classified in class 524, subclass 80+.
 - II. Claims 12, 13 and 21, drawn to an method of manufacturing an antistatic polymer composition containing the components, classified in class 525, subclass 55+.
 - Claims 27, 29 and 30, drawn to a product and imaging-forming apparatus prepared from the composition of group I, classified in class 358, subclass 305.
 - IV Claim 28, drawn to rubber products prepared from the composition of group I, classified in class 428, subclass 543.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by a process of first mixing the first component and the third component, with the subsequent admixing of the second component.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,414

35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1713

- 3. Invention I is related to inventions III and IV as mutually exclusive species in intermediate-final product relationships. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful in materially different products, as evidenced by claims 28 and 29 and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under
- 4. Inventions III and IV are unrelated and invention II is unrelated to inventions III and IV. The inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/756,414 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one Group is not required for the other(s), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Andrew D. Meikle, on 3/4/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/756,414

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim whose telephone number is (571) 272-1099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KCE

KELECHI C. EGWIM PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER